responsibility under the Clean Air Act to take final action as soon as possible after July 1, 1979 on SIP revisions addressing the Part D requirements.

All comments received will be available for inspection at the USEPA Region V Air Programs Branch, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois, 60604.

Under Executive Order 12044, USEPA is required to judge whether a regulation is "significant" and therefore subject to the procedural requirements of the Order or whether it may follow other specialized developmental procedures.

USEPA labels these other regulations "specialized." I have reviewed this regulation and determined that it is a specialized regulation not subject to the procedural requirements of Executive Order 12044.

This notice of proposed rulemaking is issued under the authority of sections 110 and 172 of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. §§ 7410, 7502).

Dated: October 24, 1980.

John McGuire,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 50-38597 Filed 11-25-60; 8.45 am]

BILLING CODE 6550-38-M

40 CFR Part 52

[A-5-FRL 1681-8]

State and Federal Administrative Orders Revising the Michigan State Implementation Plan

AGENCY: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Proposed rule: Proposed approval of revision.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) proposes to approve a revision to the Michigan State Implementation Plan (SIP). The revision is a Final Order (Order) issued by the Michigan Air Pollution Control Commission (Commission) to the Union Camp Corporation (Company). The Order extends from January 1, 1980 until January 1, 1985 the date by which the Company is required to comply with the sulfur dioxide emission limitations contained in the federally approved Michigan SIP. The purpose of this notice is to invite public comment on USEPA's proposed approval of the Order dated January 3, 1980.

DATE: Written comments must be received by December 26, 1980.

ADDRESSES: Please send comments to: Gary Gulezian, Chief, Regulatory Analysis Section, Air Programs Branch, Region V, U.S. Environmental Protection

Agency, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-6029. The State Order, supporting material

and public comments received in

response to this notice may be inspected and copied (for appropriate charges) during normal business hours at the -above address or State Order and supporting materials available at address below: Michigan Department of Natural Resouces, Air Quality Division, State Secondary Complex, General Office Building, 7150 Harris Drive, P.O. Box 30028, Lansing, Michigan 48909. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Toni Lesser, Regulatory Analysis Section, Air Programs Branch, Region V, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 230 South Dearborn, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-6037.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Union Camp Corporation operates a paper mill in Monroe County, Michigan. The Monroe facility is located 35 miles southwest of Detroit, Michigan and 20 miles northeast of Toledo, Ohio. The area is designated as attaining the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for sulfur dioxide (SO₂). The paper mill produces 300 tons/day of paperboard products and has one 300 MBTU/hr stoker coal-fired boiler.

Under Michigan Air pollution Control commission (Commission) Rule 336.49, approved as part of the Michigan State Implementation Plan on May 31, 1972, and recodified as Rule 336.1401, the source is required to burn coal with a maximum sulfur content of 1.5 percent effective July 1, 1978. On January 8, 1980, the Commission entered into the record a Stipulation for Entry of Consent Order and Final Order APC No. 14-1979. Under the Order, the source was permitted to burn 2.7% sulfur(S) fuel on an annual average and 4.0% S fuel on a daily average between January 1, 1980 and July 1, 1980. Beginning July 1, 1980 until July 1, 1982, Union Camp may burn 2.5% S (annual average) and 4.0% S (daily average) fuel. Beginning July 1, 1982 until January 1, 1985, Union Camp is allowed to burn 2.2% S (annual average) and 3.5 S (daily average) fuel. After January 1, 1985, Union Camp must comply with the existing SIP limitations of 1.5% S in Rule 336.49 (recodified as 336.1401) unless an order granting an additional extension of time or setting a new limitation has been submitted to and approved by USEPA as a SIP revision.

An air quality analysis was performed to demonstrate that the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) and Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) increments will be protected throughout the delayed compliance period (January 1, 1980 to

January 1, 1985). The modeling analysis demonstrated that the Union Camp SIP revision will not cause or contribute to a violation of the applicable NAAQS or consume the PSD increment.

The Order contains the following provisions:

A. Sulfur Dioxide Emission Limitations

- (1) Beginning on January 1, 1980, and continuing to July 1, 1980, fuel burned at the mill shall not:
- (a) On an annual average exceed 2.70 percent sulfur content by weight at 12,000 Btu/pound of coal.
- (b) On a daily average exceed 4.00 percent sulfur content by weight at 12,000 Btu/pound of coal.
- (2) Beginning on July 1, 1980, and continuing to July 1, 1982, fuel burned at the mill shall not:
- (a) On an annual average exceed 2.50 percent sulfur content by weight at 12,000 Btu/pound of coal.
- (b) On a daily average exceed 4.00 percent sulfur content by weight af 12,000 Btu/pound of coal.
- (3) Beginning on July 1, 1982; and continuing to January 1, 1985, fuel burned at the mill shall not:
- (a) On an annual average exceed 2.20 percent sulfur content by weight at 12,000 Btu/pound of coal.
- (b) On a daily average exceed 3.50 percent sulfur content by weight at 12,000 Btu/pound of coal.
- (4) After January 1, 1985, emissions of sulfur dioxide from the mill shall not exceed the levels prescribed in Tables 3 and 4 of Rule 336.49 (new rule 336.1401) unless an alternate date for compliance with the levels is established by the Commission.

B. Sulfur Dioxide Control Program

(1) If low sulfur coal is chosen as the method of control, the Company shall notify the Commission of the signing of any contracts for such coal within thirty (30) days of their signing.

(2) If the Company elects a control strategy other than low sulfur coal burning, a report on the method of control (including increments of progress) shall be provided to the Commission by January 1, 1983. If a control strategy other than low sulfur coal burning is submitted, it is the intent of the Company and the Commission to incorporate the elements of the control strategy into either a new or amended Order.

(3) By January 1, 1983, and by January 1, 1984, the Company shall submit to the Commission a report of the Company's progress toward complying with the Order. Any developments which would preclude compliance with any provision

of this Order shall be immediately reported in writing to the Commission.

C. Monitoring and Data Reporting

(1) The Company shall operate one (1) ambient sulfur dioxide monitor around the mill in such mamner and at such location as reasonably specified by the Chief of the Air Quality Division of the Department of Natural Resources (hereinafter "Staff").

(2) The Company shall perform a daily sulfur analysis of fuel burned in the mill in accordance with the procedures specified in Appendix A. Such daily sulfur analysis of fuel burned in the mill shall continue until such time as the Company has received written approval from Staff that an alternate sampling frequency is acceptable. Such approval shall be based on an acceptable demonstration that the alternate sampling frequency is sufficient to assure that the daily sulfur dioxide emission limitations are being met.

(3) The Company shall submit to the Staff data from the aforementioned ambient air quality monitors and fuel sulfur analysis in such format and at such intervals as reasonably specified.

(4) By January 1, 1980, the Company shall conduct a particulate emission test on the boiler at the mill. The test shall be conducted in accordance with Commission approved procedures.

USEPA has reviewed the Order and concluded that extension of the compliance date for the Union Camp Corporation from January 1, 1990 until January 1, 1995 will not threaten or prevent the attainment and maintenance of the SO₂ NAAQS and PSD increments. In addition, the State has indicated that it is relying on fuel analysis to determine the Company's compliance with the Order. This is acceptable to USEPA. Therefore, USEPA proposes approval of the Order as a revision to the Michigan SIP.

All interested persons are invited to comment on this revision to the - Michigan SIP and on USEPA's proposed action. Comments should be submitted to the address listed in the front of this notice. Public comments received on or before December 26, 1980, will be considered in USEPA's final rulemaking

Under Executive Order 12044 [43 FR 12661], USEPA is required to judge whether a regulation is "significant" and, therefore, subject to certain procedural requirements of the Order or whether it may follow other specialized development procedures.

USEPA labels proposed regulations as "specialized." I have reviewed these proposed regulations pursuant to the guidance in USEPA's response to Executive Order 12044, "Improving

Environmental Regulations," signed March 29, 1979 by the Administrator and I have determined that they are specialized regulations not subject to the procedural requirements of Executive Order 12044.

This proposed rulemaking is issued under the authority of Section 110 of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7410).

Dated: October 31, 1980, John McGuire, Regional Administrator. [FR Doc 88-38884 Filed 11-25-80 8 45 am] BALLING CODE 8888-38-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control

42 CFR Ch. I

Formula Grants to States for Preventive Health Service Programs

AGENCY: Center for Disease Control, Public Health Service, HHS.

ACTION: Withdrawal of notice of decision to develop regulations.

SUMMARY: The Public Health Service withdraws the Notice of Decision to Develop Regulations, published in the Federal Register on May 1, 1979 (44 FR 25476), to cover formula grants for preventive health service programs authorized under Section 315 of the Public Health Service Act. Since there were no funds appropriated for these programs, regulations will not be developed at this time.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 26, 1980. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Dennis D. Tolsma, Office of the Director, Center for Disease Control, PHS. HHS. Atlanta Georgia 30333, telephone [404] 329–3243 or FTS: 236–3243.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 203 of the Health Services and Centers Amendments of 1978 (Pub. L. 95-626) established a new Section 315 under Title III of the Public Health Service Act. The provisions of this legic Litten authorized grants to States beginning in the fiscal year which ends September 30, 1980, to assist them in planning for, developing, and providing preventive health service programs designed to prevent or reduce the five leading causes of death.

On May 1, 1979, the Public Health Service proposed to develop regulations (44 FR 25476) to cover grant applications and awards for these grants. However, no funds were appropriated in fiscal year 1980. In addition, the President's fiscal year 1981 revised budget did not include funding for this program. Therefore, the Notice of Decision to Develop Regulations published in the Federal Register on May 1, 1979 [44 FR 25476], is withdrawn.

Dated: October 6, 1980.
Julius B. Richmond,
Assistant Secretary for Health.
[FR Doc. 80-30:32 Fled 11-25-80:8:55 am]
BRLING CODE 4110-85-14

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[BC Docket No. 80-725; RM-3648]

FM Broadcast Station in Los Lunas, New Mexico; Proposed Changes in Table of Assignments

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rule making.

SUMMARY: Action taken herein proposed the assignment of a Class A FM channel to Los Lunas, New Mexico, in response to a petition filed by Frieda Brasher and Michael, Paul and Perkins Brasher. The proposed channel could provide a first local aural broadcast service to Los Lunas.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before December 30, 1980, and reply comments on or before January 19, 1981.

ADDRESS: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Montrose H. Tyree, Broadcast Bureau, (202) 632-9660.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

In the matter of amendment of § 73.202(b) Table of Assignments FM Broadcast Stations (Los Lunas, New Mexico), BC Docket No. 80–725. RM– 3648.

Adopted: November 10, 1980. Released November 24, 1980.

1. Petitioner, Proposal. Comments. (a) A petition for rule making 1 was filed by Freida Brasher, and Michael, Paul and Perkins Brasher ("petitioners"), proposing the assignment of FM Channel 272A to Los Lunas, New Mexico, as that community's first FM assignment.

(b) The channel can be assigned to Los Lunas in compliance with the minimum distance separation requirements.

(c) Petitioners state they will apply for the channel, if assigned.

^{*}Public Notice of the petition was given on $M_{\rm a}$ y 7, 1380 Report No. 1227.